	A1:4: N-	6 m m lin = m4(=)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/657,364	Applicant(s) USUDA, HIROSHI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM	2624
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM</u> .	(3)	
(2) NATALIE S.RICHER (Reg. No. 58, 545).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 June 2010</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1, 5, 9, 11 and 13		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner and applicant's representative discussed claims 1, 5, 9 and 11 in order to amend in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview 		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Aklilu Woldemariam/		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)